Requirements Matrix

Contractor's technical proposals will be assessed on the qualifications of the both the company and individuals proposed to perform the work. Individuals' résumés will be measured against each of the criteria specified below in order to ascertain whether the individuals have adequately qualifications to be considered compliant. (HQ SACT reserves the right to conduct technical discussions of nominated candidates). Examples of how detailed knowledge levels were attained are expected. Ultimately Contractor companies shall clearly demonstrate by providing unequivocal reference to where company/key personnel meet the criteria set forth in this solicitation (please include page number, reference to CV or links as applicable).

Best Value Criteria for LABOR CATEGORY #10 – DATA SCIENCTIST / AI ENGINEER (FOCUS WARGAMING INTEGRATION)

Company Name

Proposed Candidate Name:

Proposed Candidate's Nationality (identify if multiple citizenship & nation):

| Item | Compliant | Non-Compliant |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| Minimum of one past performance citations within the last seven years to show that it has successfully completed work that is similar to or directly traceable to the requirements outlined in this SOW | | |
| Demonstrated proficiency in English as defined in STANAG 6001 (Standardized Linguistic Profile (SLP) 3333 - Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing) or equivalent. | | |
| Active NATO or National SECRET (or higher) security clearance | | |
| Valid NATO Nation passport with no travel restrictions to NATO nations | | |
| Proficiency in the use of the Microsoft Office Tool suite and collaborative software | | |
| Minimum of 70 Points in the Subject Matter Expert Criteria | | |
| Key personnel citizen of NATO member nation (Nationality must be indicated to include other citizenships) | | |

| Item | Range Point values assigned based on level of knowledge/experience in relation to the tasks contained in the SOW – not solely on the number of years' experience. Failure to provide exact reference (page, paragraph, line #, ref to CV) to where experience can be validated will result is disqualification. ANY SCORE OF ZERO IS NONCOMPLIANT | Page, Paragraph and Line Number referencing where candidates meet the criteria and how. | Score (100 Pts possible) |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. A University degree in Data Science, Machine Learning (ML), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Computer Science, or a related field OR four years minimum professional experience in the area of Data Science, ML, AI within the last 5 years. | No Degree and Less than 4 years of Experience: 1-2 points Four years+ of Professional Experience: 3-4 points Bachelor's Degree with Experience: 5-6 points Master's Degree with Experience: 7-8 points | | |
| Minimum of 4 years in the last 5 years working in data science, machine learning, or AI engineering in a professional environment (not including studies). | Less than 4 years: 1-2 points 4-5 years: 3-4 points 6+ years: 5-10 points | | |
| 3. Demonstrated experience (minimum of 3 years in the last 5 years) in integrating AI technologies into practical applications. | Less than 3 years: 1-2 points 3-4 years: 3-4 points 5+ years: 5-10 points | | |
| Proficiency in AI and machine learning frameworks and tools such as TensorFlow, PyTorch, scikit-learn. | Limited experience (1-2 years): 1-3 points Moderate experience (3-4 years): 4-6 points Extensive experience (5+ years): 7-10 points | | |
| Experience with generative AI models, including Large Language Models (LLMs | Limited experience (1-2 years): 1-3 points Moderate experience (3-4 years): 4-6 points Extensive experience (5+ years): 7-10 points | | |
| Demonstrated experience (minimum of 3 years in the last 8 years) in data collection, analysis, and visualization using tools such as Python, R, Tableau, or Power BI. | Less than 3 years: 4 point 3-4 years: 2-4 points 5+ years: 5-8 points | | |
| 7. Understanding of wargaming principles and methodologies | Basic understanding: 1-3 points Moderate understanding: 4-6 points Extensive understanding: 7-9 points | | |

| | 1 |
|---|---|
| 8. Ability to communicate complex | Limited experience (1-2 years): |
| concepts effectively to non- | 1 point |
| technical stakeholders. | Moderate experience (3-4 years): |
| | 2-4 points |
| | Extensive experience (5+ years): |
| | 5-8 points |
| 9. Proven ability to work collaboratively | Limited experience (1-2 years): |
| in cross-functional and cross- | 1-2 points |
| directorate interdisciplinary teams. | Moderate experience (3-4 years): |
| | 3-4 points |
| | Extensive experience (5+ years): |
| | 5 points |
| 10. Experience in managing Al-related | Limited experience (1-2 years): |
| projects, including planning, | 1-2 points |
| execution, and (analytical) reporting. | Moderate experience (3-4 years): |
| | 3-4 points |
| | Extensive experience (5+ years): |
| | 5-6 points |
| 11. Experience in multimedia content | Basic experience (<1 year): |
| such as short videos for educational | 1 point |
| or preparatory purposes, with a | Moderate experience (1-3 years): |
| willingness to explore AI | 2-5 points |
| technologies for content generation. | Extensive experience (4+ years): |
| | 6-8 points |
| 12. Knowledge of data security principles | Basic knowledge: 1-3 points |
| and best practices. | Moderate knowledge: 4-6 points |
| | Extensive knowledge: 7-8 points |
| | |
| | |

Requirements Matrix

Contractor's technical proposals will be assessed on the qualifications of the both the company and individuals proposed to perform the work. Individuals' résumés will be measured against each of the criteria specified below in order to ascertain whether the individuals have adequately qualifications to be considered compliant. (HQ SACT reserves the right to conduct technical discussions of nominated candidates). Examples of how detailed knowledge levels were attained are expected. Ultimately Contractor companies shall clearly demonstrate by providing unequivocal reference to where company/key personnel meet the criteria set forth in this solicitation (please include page number, reference to CV or links as applicable).

Best Value Criteria for LABOR CATEGORY #12 – ACT RISK MANAGER

Company Name

Proposed Candidate Name:

Proposed Candidate's Nationality (identify if multiple citizenship & nation):

| Item | Compliant | Non-Compliant |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| Minimum of one past performance citations within the last seven years to show that it has successfully completed work that is similar to or directly traceable to the requirements outlined in this SOW | | |
| Demonstrated proficiency in English as defined in STANAG 6001 (Standardized Linguistic Profile (SLP) 3333 - Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing) or equivalent. | | |
| Active NATO or National SECRET (or higher) security clearance | | |
| Valid NATO Nation passport with no travel restrictions to NATO nations | | |
| Proficiency in the use of the Microsoft Office Tool suite and collaborative software | | |
| Minimum of 70 Points in the Subject Matter Expert Criteria | | |
| Key personnel citizen of NATO member nation (Nationality must be indicated to include other citizenships) | | |

| Item | Point values assigned based on level of knowledge/experience in relation to the tasks contained in the SOW – not solely on the number of years' experience. Failure to provide exact reference (page, paragraph, line #, ref to CV) to where experience can be validated will result is disqualification. ANY SCORE OF ZERO IS NONCOMPLIANT UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED | Page, Paragraph and Line Number referencing where candidates meet the criteria and how. | Score 100 |
|--|---|--|--------------|
| A business-related bachelor's degree. | Yes – 6-19 Points No – 1-5 Points | | |
| Demonstrate a working knowledge of 5 years in the last 10 years of risk management. | Five years and over 9 – 18 9 Less than 5 years – 3-8 Points | | |
| | No experience – 1-2 Points | | |
| Demonstrate 5 years in the last 10 of Programme and Project management for large organizations | Five years and over (5 – 18 points) | | |
| (National/NATO) | Less than 5 years – 3-4 Points | | |
| 4. Demonstrate 3 years in the | No experience – 1-2 Points Over 3 years (9 - 18 points) | | |
| last 5 years' experience in briefing senior leaders/decision makers | Less than 3 years – 3-8 Points | | |
| | No experience – 1-2 Points | | |
| 5. Demonstrate 3 years' experience in the last 10 | Three years or more 9 - 18 points | | |

| with working in a National or NATO strategic or operational command. | Less than 3 years – 3-8 Points | |
|--|---|--|
| | No experience – 1-2 Points | |
| 6. Desirable experience in national (1) and/or NATO Common Funded resource planning (1). Experience in Lean process improvement (1). Experience in budget development within a large organization (1). Possession of advanced (1), senior (1) or expert (1) risk management qualifications. Experience of operational resilience (1) and business continuity management (1). | 1 desirable point per proven skill. Scoring zero here does not make a candidate non- compliant. (9 max). This is bonus scoring and does not meet essential criteria. | |